

04/16/04

57111-5098

IN THE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re Patent Application Of:

Gordon Michael WIRAM

Serial No.:

09/777,722

Filing Date: February 5, 2001

For:

POINT OF SALE SYSTEM

Group Art Unit: 3627

Examiner:

Steven B. McAllister

CERTIFICATE OF EXPRESS MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence and identified enclosures are being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service under 37 C.F.R. § 1.10 and is addressed to: Mail Stop Fee Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, PO Box 1450, Alexandria, VA

22313-1450 on April 143 2004 Express Mail Label No. EV272831326US by Andre Xon Corbin Bøbbie-Jean Corbin

Mail Stop Fee Amendment **Commissioner For Patents** P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TRANSMITTAL LETTER

GROUP 30

Dear Sir/Madam:

Attached are the following:

- Amendment and Response to Office Action; 1)
- Response to Requirement for Information under 37 C.F.R. 1.105; 2)
- Fee Transmittal; 3)
- 4) Check No. 004962064 in the amount of \$568.00; and
- 5) Return Postcard.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 4/14/04

STEVEN R. HANSEN Registration No. 39,214

JEFFER, MANGELS, BUTLER & MARMARO LLP 1900 Avenue of Stars, Seventh Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067 (310) 203-8080

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0032
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE oder the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. Complete if Known **TRANSMITTAL** 09/777,722 **Application Number**

February 5, 2001 Filing Date Gordon Michael WIRAM First Named Inventor 0/01/2003. Patent fees are subject to annual revision. Steven B. McAllister **Examiner Name** Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27 3627 Art Unit (\$) 568.00 TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT 57111-5098 Attorney Docket No.

METHOD OF PAYMENT (check all that apply)						FEE CALCULATION (continued)							
☐ Check	3. ADDITIONAL FEES												
Order Deposit Account:						Large Entity Small Entity							
Deposit Account	10-0440				Fee Code	Fee (\$)	Fee Code	Fee (\$)	Fee Description			Fee Paid	
Number						130	2051	65	Surcharge - late filing fee or oath				
Deposit Account Name						50	2052	25	Surcharge - lat				
The Director is authorized to: (check all that apply)						130	1053	130	Non-English so	Non-English specification			
Charge fee(s) indicated below Credit any overpayments						2,520	1812	2,520	For filing a request for ex parte reexamination				
Charge any additional fee(s) or any underpayment of fee(s)						920*	1804	920°	Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action				
Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filing fee													
to the above-identified deposit account.						1,840*	1805	1,840*	Requesting publication of SIR after Examiner action				
FEE CALCULATION													
1. BASIC FIL					1251	110	2251	55	Extension for re	ply within first	month		
Large Entity S		•	Description	Fee Paid	1252	420	2252	210	Extension for re	eply within sec	ond month		
	Fee Fee Code (\$		Description	reeralu	1253	950	2253	475	Extension for re	eply within thire	d month		
1	• •	385 Utility filing fee			1254	1,480	2254	740	Extension for reply within fourth month				
1002 340 2	2002 170	0 Des	ign filing fee		1255	2,010	2255	1,005			month		
1003 530 2003 265 Plant filing fee					1401	330	2401		Notice of Appea				
1004 770 2004 385 Reissue filing fee					1402	330	2402	165	Filing a brief in				
1005 160 2005 80 Provisional filing fee				1403 1451	290	2403	145	Request for oral hearing					
						1,510	1451 2452	1,510	Petition to institute a public use proceeding Petition to revive - unavoidable				
SUBTOTAL(1) (\$)					1452 1453	1,330	2452	55 665	Petition to revive - unintentional				
2. EXTRA CLAIM FEES FOR UTILITY AND REISSUE					1501	1,330	2501	665	Utility issue fee (or reissue)				
1 _		Ext	ra Claims	Fee from Fee Paid	1502	480	2502	240	Design issue fe		į		
Total Claims	52	-30** =	22 x	18 = 396	1503	640	2503	320	Plant issue fee	•			
Independent Claims	7	-5** =	2 X	86 = 172	1460	130	1460	130	Petitions to the	Commissione	r		
Multiple Depend	dent	1			1807	50	1807	50	Processing fee				
Large Entity Small Entity					1806	180	1806	180	Submission of Information Disclosure Stmt				
Fee Fee Code (\$)		Fee	Fee Descri	<u>otion</u>	8021	40	8021	40	Recording each	n patent assign	ment per		
Code (\$) 1202 18		(\$)			1809	770			property (times number of properties)				
1202 16	2202	9	Claims in e				2809	385	Filing a submission after final rejection				
1203 290	2201 2203	43 145		nt claims in excess of 3 pendent claim, if not paid					(37 CFR 1.129(a))				
1204 86	2204	43		independent claims	1810	770	2810	385	For each additional invention to be examined (37 CFR 1.129(b))				
1207 00	2204	40	over orig	inal patent	4004	770		205	•			<u> </u>	
1205 18	2205	9		claims in excess of 20	1801	770	2801		Request for Cor				
· '	SUBTO		original patent \$) 568	1802	1802 900 1802 900 Request for expedited examination of a design application								
	Other fee (specify)							0					
**or number	y paid, if gi	reater; For Re	eissues, see above	*Redu	*Reduced by Basic Filing Fee Paid SUBTOTAL (3) (\$)								
SUBMITTED BY (Complete (if applicable)													
Name (Print/Type) Steven R. Hansen						Registration No. (Attorney/Agent 39,2			214	14 Telephone (310) 203-8080			
Signature KU7										Date April 14, 2004			

WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.17 and 1.27. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re Application of:

Gordon Michael WIRAM

Serial No.: 09/777,722

Filed: February 5, 2001

For: POINT OF SALE SYSTEM

Art Unit: 3627

Examiner: Steven B. McAllister

Mail Stop Fee Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this document is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service under 37 C.F.R. §1.10 and is addressed to: Mail Stop Fee Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on April 14, 2004, Express Mail Label No. EV272831326US, by Dobble Low Low Bobbie-Jean Corbin

RESPONSE TO REQUIREMENT FOR INFORMATION UNDER 37 C.F.R 1.105

I the undersigned, GORDON MICHAEL WIRAM, submit this response to the Examiner's requirement for information on behalf of myself and on behalf of U-Haul International, Inc. ("U-Haul"), the assignee of the present application, and state as follows:

- 1. I am the inventor of the present invention and am employed by U-Haul. I am authorized to respond to the Examiner's requirement for information on behalf of U-Haul.
- 2. I have made a reasonable and diligent effort to obtain information responsive to the Examiner's requirement for

-1-

Response to Requirement for Information Under 37 C.F.R. 1.105.

Appl. No. 09/777,722 Atty. Docket No. 57111-5098 Customer No. 24574 information. Set forth below is the information which was obtained as a result of my efforts. The information is organized according to the three topics listed in the Office Action, dated January 14, 2004.

- 3. The submission of this response is not intended as an admission that the information contained herein constitutes prior art against the claims of the present application. U-Haul does not waive any rights to take any action which would be appropriate to remove as a competent reference any activities or publications that are determined to constitute prima facie prior art against the claims of the present application.
 - A. Any information regarding applicant's or assignee's public use of a computerized reservation system prior to February 5, 2000 in which the system has any claimed elements of the invention.
- 4. Prior to February 5, 2000, a Personal Computer ("PC") based Point of Sale system was in use by U-Haul and certain U-Haul dealers. Although the system was implemented on personal computers and/or computer networks, it was not connected or coupled to an internet server, and thus, was not available through the internet. This PC-based system included a rental feature having a customer information section and a payment section, a reservation feature having a customer information section and an equipment information section, and a payment section, having a cash section, check section and credit card section. The PC-based system further included a transfer feature

-2-

Response to Requirement for Information Under 37 C.F.R. 1.105.

Appl. No. 09/777,722 Atty. Docket No. 57111-5098 Customer No. 24574 having an equipment information section, a reporting feature comprising a closing report, a reservation report, an inventory report, an equipment due report and a refund report, as well as a marketing section having a marketing message relating to the moving equipment rental business. In addition, the PC-based system included a customer information section that stored and recalled customer information, as well as an equipment information section that stored and recalled information pertaining to a transaction. It also included a rental feature with a printable rental agreement.

- 5. The PC-based system was not directly used by U-Haul's customers. Instead, U-Haul, U-Haul owned and operated stores, or U-Haul's dealers would input information provided by customers into the system.
- 6. At no time prior to February 5, 2000 did U-Haul or U-Haul's dealers publicly use a point of sale system containing any of the presently claimed features which was coupled to an internet server or available via the internet.
 - B. Any information regarding any computerized reservation system used by any entity other than the assignee prior to February 5, 2001 in which the system had any claimed element of the invention.
- 7. It is my understanding that Budget and Ryder used a PC-based reservation/rental program prior to February 5, 2001.

 Whether the respective programs were used by the public as opposed to internally by Budget and Ryder personnel is unknown

-3-

Response to Requirement for Information Under 37 C.F.R. 1.105.

Appl. No. 09/777,722 Atty. Docket No. 57111-5098 Customer No. 24574 and not readily available to U-Haul or myself. However, I further understand that neither system was coupled to an internet server or available via the internet. Both the Ryder and Budget programs included the following features: a rental feature with a payment section, a reservation feature with a payment section, a returned equipment section, a closing report, an inventory report, and a reservation report. The Ryder system included a reservation report. Whether the Budget system included such a report is unknown and not readily available to U-Haul or myself. It is my understanding that neither the Budget nor Ryder systems included an equipment transfer function, a status reporting function, or a feature which recalls stored customer and equipment information and then processes a rental transaction.

8. It is my understanding that a company called Solutions by Computer had a rental business software program for use by contractors or general rental stores prior to February 5, 2001. However, the specific functionality of the software is unknown and not readily available to U-Haul or myself.

-4-

- C. Any non-patent literature known by the applicant or assignee discussing any computerized reservation system having any element of the claimed invention published prior to February 5, 2001.
- 9. It is unknown and not readily available to U-Haul or myself whether any non-patent literature that is responsive to this request exists. However, two publications, "Rental Management" and "Rental Equipment Register" may have relevant information. Neither U-Haul nor I have copies of these publications which contain any relevant, responsive information.

-5-

Dated: (Mrs / 2, 2001)

Gordon Michael Wiram